## **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

(Rationalised Report according to the Notice of the President of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  RCJ-A0213P	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal o Preliminary Examination Report	
International application No.	International filing date (day/n	nonth/year) Priority date (day	month year)
PCT/JP02/10167	30/09/2002	30/09/2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC		
	C12Q1/68		
Applicant			
F.HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE AG e	t al.		
been amended and are the bas	applicant according to Article 30 of sheets, including ed by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of	i. this cover sheet. of the description, claims and/or dra ontaining rectifications made befor	wings which have
These annexes consists of a total of	sheets.		
3. This report contains indications rela	ating to the following items:		
I X Basis of the report			
II Priority			
III Non-establishment of or	inion with regard to novelty, inv	rentive step and industrial applicabi	lity
IV Lack of unity of invention	on		
	er Article 35(2) with regard to n is supporting such statement	ovelty, inventive step or industrial	applicability;
VI Certain documents cited			
VII Certain defects in the int	ernational application		
VIII Certain observations on	the international application		
		•	
		•	
			•
Date of submission of the demand	Date o	f completion of this report	
25/03/2004		29/07/2004	wordisches Patentami.
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	Author	zed officer	E LOS
European Patent Office	BOUT	RUCHE J E E	
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656	i epmu d	40.80) 2200 2828	

## I. Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).